

#### collab.me.vt.edu

Dylan Losey Virginia Tech | Fall 2024





Prevalence of Difficulty Performing ADLs and IADLs in Adults 18 Years and Older With One or More Selected Symptoms That Interfere With Everyday Activities: 2014





# This Lecture

- Introducing shared autonomy
- One flavor of solutions: predicting goals
- Another flavor of solutions: predicting actions

# What is shared autonomy?

Consider a system with:

- State *s*
- Human input  $u_H$

The system maps the human's input into a commanded action:

$$a_H^t = \phi(s^t, u_H^t)$$



Consider a system with:

- State *s*
- Human input  $u_H$
- Assistive action  $a_R$

The dynamics depend on both the human's input and the robot's assistance:

$$s^{t+1} = f\left(s^t, a_R^t, \phi(s^t, u_H^t)\right)$$







# Shared Autonomy: Predicting Goals



Assistive arms allow people with disabilities and robots to work together to perform tasks, like eating.

#### Predicting Goals

One approach is to think of shared autonomy as an **optimization problem** under uncertainty. The human knows the reward (i.e., the goal), and the robot needs to predict that goal, and take assistive actions towards the goal.

 $\mathcal{M} = \langle S, A_R, U_H, f, \phi, \theta \rangle$ 

Shared autonomy written as a Markov decision process (can be extended to POMDP)

#### Predicting Goals

 $\mathcal{M} = \langle S, A_R, U_H, f, \phi, \theta \rangle$ 

- *S* is the set of states
- $A_R$  and  $U_H$  are the set of assistive actions and human inputs
- f and  $\phi$  are the known dynamics (including the input mapping)
- $r(s, \theta)$  is the **reward function** that the robot should optimize for
- $\theta$  is the human's goal, which the robot does not know *a priori*



Imagine the human and robot are trying to reach for a **goal** in **free space**.



**Step 1 (Predict)**: Infer which goal the human is trying to reach

**Step 2 (Blend)**: Blend the human's commanded action with assistive action



 $\theta_2$ 

**Step 1 (Predict)**: Infer which goal the human is trying to reach

**Step 2 (Blend)**: Blend the human's commanded action with assistive action

 $\theta_2$ 

 $\theta_1$ 

**Step 1 (Predict)**: Infer which goal the human is trying to reach

- Start with prior  $P(\theta)$
- At each timestep get  $(s, a_H)$
- Update posterior:

$$P(\theta \mid D) \propto P(\theta) \prod_{(s,a_H) \in D} P(a_H \mid s, \theta)$$

Often people simplify this...



$$s^{0} = \begin{bmatrix} 0\\5 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$s^{t} = \begin{bmatrix} 5\\3 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\theta_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 8 \\ 3 \end{bmatrix}$$



$$\theta_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 5\\ 2 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$s^{0} = \begin{bmatrix} 0\\5 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$s^{t} = \begin{bmatrix} 5\\3 \end{bmatrix}$$

#### Naïve approach

Probability human wants a goal is inverse prop. to distance from goal

$$P(\theta \mid D) \propto \frac{1}{\|\theta - s^t\|}$$

$$f$$
Distance left to  $\theta$ 

$$\mathbf{h} \mathbf{\theta}_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 5\\ 2 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\theta_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 8 \\ 3 \end{bmatrix}$$



$$s^{0} = \begin{bmatrix} 0\\5 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$s^{t} = \begin{bmatrix} 5\\3 \end{bmatrix}$$

#### Naïve approach

Probability human wants a goal is inverse prop. to distance from goal

$$P(\theta \mid D) \propto \frac{1}{\|\theta - s^t\|}$$



$$P(\theta_2|s^t) = 0.25$$

$$s^{0} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 5 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$s^{t} = \begin{bmatrix} 5 \\ 3 \end{bmatrix}$$

#### Better approach

Consider how efficiently human is moving towards the goal

$$P(\theta \mid D) \propto \frac{\|\theta - s^{0}\|}{\|s^{t} - s^{0}\| + \|\theta - s^{t}\|}$$
  
Distance gone so far  
Distance left to  $\theta$ 

$$\theta_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 5\\ 2 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\theta_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 8 \\ 3 \end{bmatrix}$$



$$s^{0} = \begin{bmatrix} 0\\5 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$s^{t} = \begin{bmatrix} 5\\3 \end{bmatrix}$$

#### Better approach

Consider how efficiently human is moving towards the goal

$$P(\theta \mid D) \propto \frac{\|\theta - s^0\|}{\|s^t - s^0\| + \|\theta - s^t\|}$$







**Step 1 (Predict)**: Infer which goal the human is trying to reach

- Start with prior  $P(\theta)$
- At each timestep get  $(s, a_H)$
- Update posterior:

 $P(\theta \mid D) \propto P(\theta) \frac{\exp(\beta \cdot \|\theta - s^0\|)}{\exp(\beta \|s^t - s^0\| + \beta \|\theta - s^t\|)}$ 

One common simplification for free space goals



**Step 1 (Predict)**: Infer which goal the human is trying to reach

**Step 2 (Blend)**: Blend the human's commanded action with assistive action





Step 2 (Blend): Blend the human's commanded action with assistive action

$$a = (1 - \alpha) \cdot a_H + \alpha \cdot a_R$$

*Linearly blend the human and robot actions, the robot executes the overall action a* 



Step 2 (Blend): Blend the human's commanded action with assistive action

$$a = (1 - \alpha) \cdot a_H + \alpha \cdot a_R$$

We know this...

What about the assistive robot action?



Step 2 (Blend): Blend the human's commanded action with assistive action

$$a = (1 - \alpha) \cdot a_H + \alpha \cdot a_R$$

$$a_R = \sum_{\theta \in \Theta} P(\theta | D) \cdot (\theta - s^t)$$

Assist towards weighted average goal





**Given** set of possible goals  $\theta \in \Theta$ **Given** prior over goals  $P(\theta)$ **For** each timestep t

- Measure state *s* and human input  $a_H$
- **Predict**  $P(\theta \mid D) \propto P(\theta) \prod_{(s,a_H) \in D} P(a_H \mid s, \theta)$
- Compute assistance  $a_R = \sum_{\theta \in \Theta} P(\theta|D) \cdot (\theta s^t)$
- Take **blended** action  $a = (1 \alpha) \cdot a_H + \alpha \cdot a_R$



Assistive arms allow people with disabilities and robots to work together to perform tasks, like eating.

# Related Papers

- https://journals.sagepub.com/doi/full/10.1177/0278364913490324
- <u>https://journals.sagepub.com/doi/full/10.1177/0278364918776060</u>
- <u>https://dl.acm.org/doi/pdf/10.1145/3359614</u>

# Shared Autonomy: Predicting Actions

Consider a system with:

- State *s*
- Human input  $u_H$

The system maps the human's input into a commanded action:

$$a_H^t = \phi(s^t, u_H^t)$$





The robot autonomy generates trajectory segments



### **Predicting Actions**

With assistive applications in mind, the human's input is often **low-dimensional**. But the robot the human is trying to control is **high-dimensional**. Instead of assuming access to a discrete set of goals, can we enable the human to seamlessly control their complex and dexterous robot arm?

$$a_H = \phi(s, u_H)$$

*These approaches learn a mapping from states and inputs to commanded robot actions* 

#### **Predicting Actions**

$$a_H = \phi(s, u_H)$$

- *s* is the current state (i.e., joint position + any camera images)
- $u_H$  is the human's low-dimensional input (i.e., 2-DoF joystick)
- $\phi$  is the unknown teleoperation mapping from inputs to actions
- $a_H$  is the high-dimensional action the human wants the robot to take



(s, a)





 $(s, u_H)$ 

To learn the mapping  $\phi(s, u_H) \rightarrow a_H$  we will use a **conditional autoencoder**.



To learn the mapping  $\phi(s, u_H) \rightarrow a_H$  we will use a **conditional autoencoder**.



To learn the mapping  $\phi(s, u_H) \rightarrow a_H$  we will use a **conditional autoencoder**.



 $\varphi(s,a) \to z$ 

The decoder is *conditioned* on state *s*. Take *s* from input and pass directly to decoder.

To learn the mapping  $\phi(s, z) \rightarrow a$  we will use a **conditional autoencoder**.

Once trained, we control the robot using only the decoder to get action *a* 



**Our idea.** We embed high-dimensional and complex tasks to low-dimensional **latent representations**. At runtime users select the latent representation with a joystick, which then maps to high-dimensional and meaningful behaviors.



When user presses the joystick to the **right**, the robot decodes this latent input to help reach the spatula

**Our idea.** We embed high-dimensional and complex tasks to low-dimensional **latent representations**. At runtime users select the latent representation with a joystick, which then maps to high-dimensional and meaningful behaviors.



When robot is holding the spatula and user presses the joystick **down**, robot decodes this latent input to help automate a stirring motion







**Offline** collect dataset  $D = \{(s^1, a^1), ..., (s^N, a^N)\}$ Initialize models:

- Encoder  $\varphi(s, a) \rightarrow z$
- Decoder  $\phi(s, z) \rightarrow a$

Train encoder and decoder to minimize loss:

$$\mathcal{L}(\theta) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{(s,a) \in D} \left\| a - \phi(s,\varphi(s,a)) \right\|^2$$

Models have weights  $\theta$ 

Error between actual and predicted

**Online** take trained decoder  $\phi(s, z) \rightarrow a$ 

At each timestep t:

- Observe state *s* and human input  $u_H$
- Treat  $z = u_H$  as the latent action
- Get decoded action  $\phi(s, z) \rightarrow a_H$
- [Optional] Use predict and blend to assist human
- Transition to next state









(1) add eggs







#### Latent Action



R

#### LA + SA (ours)







# Related Papers

- <u>https://link.springer.com/article/10.1007/s10514-021-10005-w</u>
- <u>https://dl.acm.org/doi/full/10.1145/3651994</u>

# This Lecture

- Introducing shared autonomy
- One flavor of solutions: predicting goals
- Another flavor of solutions: predicting actions







#### collab.me.vt.edu